G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1918 '19

~~191~~

(~~Financial~~)

S. R

File No. 5658.

Serial Nos. 1 – 6

Later references.

(13)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 6

M. ~~Part~~ B for August 00.

SUBJECT.

Refund of the Value of unused Court-fee-labels.

Indexed JR

Submit for the ordinary Court application together with an impressed Court-Fee Stamp of the value of Rs 10/- with two adhesive Court-fee-labels of Rs 2-12-0 received from Mr. Anantha chetty, Advocate, Chitaldrug and prays for the refund of the value of the said impressed stamp and labels.

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—13-12-18

Forwards the copy of the above letter (SL I)
for his opinion thereon.

Forwards the copy of SL I letter
for his opinion thereon

States that the notification No. 9
of 16 4 85 relates only to the refund —
of an impressed Court fee stamps and not
to that of labels.

Sr 25-12 Sess 2 7 Jan 13.

Chit Bystanders No. 607 89
26 Oct 1895

Submitted
Secretary for
Orders

The point is whether
value of Court
Court Fee labels
should be refunded
for be refunded
as in the case
of Jen Stamps.

Sec. 27 of the
Ct Fees Act provides
for making rules
for the renewal
of damaged or
spoilt stamps -
but no rule stated
therein as to refund -

I am not aware
of any rules for the
refund of the value
of the labels - Why shd
not the labels be
returned for use of
fit

Klero used?

VL
15/2/99

Did this question never
before come up for
orders? Please con-
-sult Mr. Keay
& also enquire in the
"General Records"

17-2-99
Submitted to D. Secretary.
N 18/2/99

Submitted
Notes 209 of 16/4/85 with connected papers
bearing on this subject are putups.

Sig
21/2/99

This reference relates
not to enlarged Court-fee
Stamps but to Court-
fee Labels.

You must Govt. Advocate
& Registrar (Insp) Court.

27/6/99.

Mr. Soob

⊗ No. 7 & 10/7/99.

Advocate states that
Notice No 9 of 16.4.95
relates only to the refund
of unimpressed Court
fees stamps and not to
the refund of Court fee
label. (Letter No 7 & 10.7.99).
submitted to Secy
for orders
N
17/7/99

Letter with a reply is received
from the Chief Court of
A N 21.7.99
N
21/7/99

Submitted

The Registrar of the Chief
Court invites attention to
Rule V of the late Chief Comm's
Notfn: No. ⊗237 & 15th January 1873
under which the value of
Court Fees' labels once sold
can in no case be refunded
except on a Court certifi-
cate as provided for
in Sects. 13, 14, & 15 of the
Court Fees' Act, nor can
fresh labels be given in
exchange there of. From
enquiry made in the

× No 867/27 & 29.7.99

⊗ vide page 51 of the
Rules under the
Court Fees' Act, put up.

Chief Court, it is ascertained that there is nothing to show that this motion has been repeated. A draft order is submitted for approval as directed.

10/Oct/22

1898-99

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Chitaldrug District

No: 607-
Ex: 582-
2-

Dated 26th January, 1899

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Rev. Depts.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for the orders of Government an application together with an impressed Court fee stamp of the value of Rs 10/- with 2 adhesive Court fee labels of Rs 2-12-0 affixed to it received from Mr. Ananthaa Chetty, Advocate, Chitaldrug, and praying for the refund of the value of the said impressed stamps and labels.

2. Refunds of the value of spoilt Court fee stamps (impressed are being sanctioned under the standing orders of Government if they are applied for within a prescribed period either from the date of purchase of

No 234







From The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
Government ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.
General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 26th January 1899.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2 -

General No. 607-

No. 582.

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the orders of Government an application together with an impressed Court Fee stamp of the value of Rs 10/- with two adhesive Court Fee Labels of Rs 2-12-0 received from Mr Ananta Chetty, Advocate, Chitaldrug and praying for the refund of the value of the said impressed stamp and labels

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

of the paper or from that on which they became affixed.

3. In the present case the stamp in question has been purchased only on 17th December 1898 and the refund of the value thereof will therefore be sanctioned. The refund of the value of Court fee labels seems to me a point of doubt, and I therefore beg to solicit the instructions of Government, as the rules on the subject do not make any mention of court fee labels.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner

~~Two drafts~~
Draft as per order

4

To.

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of May

Dated 29 June 1899

No. 9594 Enclose ✓
Fl. 1181.

Has the honor to
forward herewith for favor of opinion copy
of letter No. 607/582 dated
26th January 1899, from
the Dy. Commr. Chitaldrug
Dt. regarding the refund of
the value of unused
Court fee labels, and to
request the favor of
an expression of his
opinion on the ~~question~~ point
~~subject~~ raised by the Dy.
Commr. in para 3 of the letter
in question.

As applied for
by Mr Anantachrthy
Advocate, Chitaldrug

By order

2 drafts.

27/6/99

For Secretary

Draft as per order

To.

The Government Advocate
in Mysore

Dated 29/6/99 ✓

No. 9595
H: 1182 Enclosed

In forwarding herewith copy of letter No. 667/582 dated 26th January 1899, from the Deputy Comr. Chitaldrug Dt., regarding the refund of the value of unused Court fee labels, I has the honor to request that the Government Advocate will be so good as to favor Govt with his opinion on the points raised by the Dy. Comr. in para 3 of the letter in question.

As applied for by Mr Anantachar, Advocate Chitaldrug,

By order

2 Drafts

27/6/99
For Secretary

231
1897 5-8

From

The Govt. Advocate
in Mysore

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVT. OF MYSORE,
REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPTS.

Dated 10th July 97

Despatched

Received

General No. 7
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that the note
No. 9 of 16/4/85 relates
only to the imposition of
an increased Court
fee stamp & not to
that of Court fee labels
&c.

References to be marked.

Submd
refees put up
15/7/97

OFFICE OF THE
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE.

DATED 10th July 1899

No. 7
En. No. 7

From

THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 9595, F. 1182 dated the 29th Ultimo I have the honor to state that the Notification No. 9, dated the 16th April 1885 relates only to the refund of an impressed Court fees stamp & not to the refund of Court fee Label

I am of opinion that the value of Court fee Labels cannot be refunded

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt Servant

S Ramachandra Rao
Government Advocate

(General Series No. 30.) 1899/968

From The Registrar of the Chief Court, Bangalore

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 29th July 99

Despatched 31st —

Received

Enclosures—Number

General No. 867

No. 27

With reference to his
No. 9594 dt 29th Ultimo
Fc 1181
forwards opinion regarding
the refund of the value
of unused court fee
labels

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—24-5-92.—1,802.

N 867/24

Bangalore, 29th July 1899.

From

The Registrar of the

Chief Court of Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Genl. & Rev. Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 9594-Fl.1181 dated the 29th Ultimo forwarding for opinion copy of letter No. 607-582 dated 26th January 1899 from the Deputy Commissioner of Chittaldrug regarding the refund of the value of unused Court fee labels, I am directed to refer you to para 5 of the Notification by the Chief Commissioner of Mysore No. 237 dated 15th January 1873, republished by Government in 1890, which expressly provides that " in no case will the value of Court fee labels once sold be refunded, except on a Court Certificate as provided for in Sections 13, 14, 15 of the Court Fees Act, nor will fresh labels be given in exchange thereof."

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Registrar.

Nitu

Draft for approval

Read:—

(1) Letter No. 607/582 dated 26th January 1899 from the Dy Commr, Chitaldroog Distt. Soliciting instructions as to whether the value of unused Court Fees labels may be refunded to the parties concerned on application, as in the case of spoilt impressed Court Fee Stamps.

(2) Letter no. 7 dated 10th July 1899 from the Govt Advocate in Mysore expressing his opinion.

(3) Letter no. 867/27 dated 29th July 1899 from the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

Order thereon:—

The attention of the Dy Commr is invited to Rule V of the late Chief Commr's Notification No. 237 dated 15th January 1873 ⊛

Rules & Returns 4 15-8-99

No. 1801-8 / Fl. 167 $25.8.00 / 25

⊛ Vide Compilation of Rules & Notifns under the Court Fees Act of 1870.

under which, the value
of Court Fees' labels once
sold cannot be refunded,
except on a Court certi-
ficate as provided for in
Sections 13, 14, 15 of the
Court Fees' Act. nor can
fresh labels be given in
exchange thereof

Print

15-8-99

13829.

10/8/99 Secretary

To:
The Dy Commr.
Chitaldroog District
returning the enclosures.

" Dy Commrs of other
Districts.

Received for Rs 2-10 Bills
25/8/00
Issued.
25/8/00

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Financial, dated 25th August 1900.

READ—

Letter No. 607—582, dated 26th January 1899, from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug district, soliciting instructions as to whether the value of unused Court-fees labels may be refunded to the parties concerned on application as in the case of spoilt impressed Court-fee stamps.

2. Letter No. 7, dated 10th July 1899, from the Government Advocate in Mysore, expressing his opinion.

3. Letter No. 867—27, dated 29th July 1899, from the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

No. 1801-8—FL. 167, DATED BANGALORE, 25TH AUGUST 1900.

ORDER THEREON—The attention of the Deputy Commissioner is invited to Rule V of the late Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 237,* dated 15th January 1873, under which the value of Court-fees labels once sold cannot be refunded, except on a Court certificate as provided for in Sections 13, 14 and 15 of the Court Fees Act, nor can fresh lables be given in exchange thereof.

*Vide compilation of Rules and Notifications under the Court Fees Act of 1899.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, returning the enclosures.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.

Exd.—H.A.R.

1900-1901

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 25th August 1900

No. 1801-8 / Fl. 167

Inviting Chitaldrug Deputy Commissioner's attention to Notification of 1873, *in re* Refund of the Value of unused Court-Fee Labels.

SBP —1-9-1900—200

Forwards his opinion regarding the refund of the value of unused Court fee labels.

Invites attention to Notification of 1873 in Re. refund of the value of unused Court fee labels.